

REMARKS

This response is intended as a complete response to the Office Action dated July 3, 2006. In view of the following discussion, the Applicants believe that all claims are in allowable form.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Applicants thank the Examiner for her comments regarding the allowability of claims 3, 7-8 and 13, if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, the Applicants have amended claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of claim 3, and claim 3 has been cancelled. Previously withdrawn claims 14-20 have been cancelled. In addition, the Applicants have added new claims 21-26 to the application. New independent claim 21 corresponds to allowable claim 7, rewritten in independent form and claims 22-23 depend therefrom. New independent claim 24 corresponds to allowable claim 8, rewritten in independent form and claims 25-26 depend therefrom.

Thus, the Applicants believe that all claims are presently allowable. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the present application be allowed to issue.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

§102 Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 6 and 12

Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 6 and 12 stand rejected as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,281,135 issued August 28, 2001, to *Han, et al.* (hereinafter *Han*). In view of the amendment to claim 1, discussed above, the present rejection is moot as the claims have been amended into allowable form, as indicated by the Examiner. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

§103 Claims 9 and 10

Claims 9 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Han* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,357 issued September 18, 2001 to *Zhang, et al.* (hereinafter *Zhang*). In view of the amendment to claim 1, discussed above, the present rejection is moot as the claims have been amended into allowable form, as

indicated by the Examiner. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

§103 Claim 11

Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Han* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,888,414 issued March 30, 1999 to *Collins, et al.* (hereinafter *Collins*). In view of the amendment to claim 1, discussed above, the present rejection is moot as the claims have been amended into allowable form, as indicated by the Examiner. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the Applicants submit that all claims now pending are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issuance are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that any unresolved issues still exist, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Mr. Alan Taboada at (732) 935-7100 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

January 15, 2007
Date

/ Alan Taboada /
Alan Taboada, Esq.
Reg. No. 51,359
Moser IP Law Group
1040 Broad Street, 2nd Floor
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702
(732) 935-7100